

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

International Journal of Pharmaceutics 301 (2005) 25–32

www.elsevier.com/locate/ijpharm

Reducing bounce effects in the Andersen cascade impactor

Craig Dunbar ∗, Abdo Kataya, Tiba Tiangbe

Alkermes Inc., 88 Sidney Street, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

Received 14 December 2004; received in revised form 28 April 2005; accepted 28 April 2005 Available online 14 July 2005

Abstract

The collection efficiency of the Andersen cascade impactor (ACI) can be affected by particle bounce, overload and reentrainment (or blow-off), collectively referred to as bounce effects. Reduction of bounce effects in the ACI operated at 60 LPM was investigated for placebo large porous particles. Aerodynamic particle size distributions (aPSDs) obtained with the ACI and multi-stage liquid impinger (MSLI) were compared by observation of modes and statistical comparisons of the mass median aerodynamic diameter (MMAD) and geometric standard deviation (σ_{g}). Particle bounce effects were prevalent in the ACI with uncoated plates, i.e., bi-modal distribution with statistically significant differences in MMAD and σ_g ($P < 0.05$). Coating the impaction plates with a thin layer of vacuum grease and decreasing the ACI stage jet velocities reduced, but did not minimize bounce effects. Bounce effects were minimized using 20 - μ m pore glass fiber filters saturated in water placed on inverted impaction plates, with good agreement obtained between the ACI and MSLI aPSDs, i.e., mono-modal with no statistically significant differences in MMAD and σ_{ν} (*P* > 0.05). Selection of the impaction substrate material and solvent must be evaluated with the drug product and analytical methods to minimize bounce effects and obtain an accurate measure of the aPSD. © 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Bounce effects; Cascade impaction; Large porous particles

1. Introduction

The eight-stage Andersen cascade impactor (ACI) is widely used to characterize and control the aerodynamic particle size distribution (aPSD) emitted from therapeutic inhalation aerosols [\(Mitchell and Nagel,](#page-6-0) [2004\).](#page-6-0) The method is described in the United States and European Pharmacopoeias and has been the Food

∗ Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 617 250 1532;

fax: +1 617 621 7607.

and Drug Administration's method of choice for the release and stability testing of pharmaceutical inhala-tion products [\(EP, 1997,](#page-6-0) [USP<601>\).](#page-7-0) Particle engineering has emerged as a potential new technique to enhance the performance of therapeutic inhalation aerosols [\(Edwards et al., 1997; Duddu et al., 2002](#page-6-0)). However, modification of the particle density, morphology and material may affect the impactor's collection efficiency. This is especially the case for particles with large volumes, low envelope densities and small contact surface areas, e.g., large porous particles [\(Edwards](#page-6-0) [et al., 1997\)](#page-6-0). Also, recovery of the active ingredient

E-mail address: craig.dunbar@alkermes.com (C. Dunbar).

^{0378-5173/\$ –} see front matter © 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2005.04.039

is prerequisite for therapeutic aerosols in order to satisfy the mass balance of the product label claim ([FDA,](#page-6-0) [1998;](#page-6-0) [USP<601>\).](#page-7-0) Therefore, selection of impaction coating films and substrates must be integrated with the drug, excipients and chemical analysis.

The collection efficiency of an impactor stage is defined as the cumulative fraction of particles that pass downstream of the stage relative to the particles collected upstream, and is usually expressed as a function of Stokes number or aerodynamic diameter [\(Marple and Rubow, 1986\)](#page-6-0). Factors affecting the accuracy and robustness of the collection efficiency include particle bounce, overload, re-entrainment (or blow-off) and interstage losses. Bounce occurs when the impulse of an impacting particle is greater than the adhesion force. This results in the particles being carried to downstream stages where the jet velocities are higher, increasing the incidence of bounce and distorting the aPSD toward smaller sizes. Overload occurs when large volumes of particles are deposited, modifying the collection efficiency of the impaction surface. Re-entrainment refers to particles that have impacted on a surface and are subsequently removed either by the force of the impinging jet, cross-flow from adjacent jets or other impacting particles. Particle bounce, stage overload and re-entrainment are interactive effects and are difficult to identify individually. Therefore, they are generally referred to as bounce effects. Interstage losses are defined as the percentage of particles collected on the impactor stages (rather than the impaction plates) relative to the total mass collected in the impactor $(USP<601$.

The purpose of this study was to evaluate bounce effects in the ACI with placebo large porous particles. Coating the impaction plates with a compliant film, reducing the stage jet velocities, and the introduction of porous substrates were evaluated. Comparisons were made with aPSDs obtained with the multi-stage liquid impinger (MSLI). The MSLI utilizes liquid impaction surfaces which prevent bounce effects that occur with solid impaction surfaces [\(Asking and Olsson, 1997\).](#page-6-0)

2. Materials and methods

The eight-stage Andersen cascade impactor (Thermo Electron, Franklin, MA) and multi-stage liquid impinger (Erweka USA Inc., Milford, CT) were used to obtain the aPSD emitted from the AIR® delivery system ([Dunbar et al., 2002](#page-6-0)). Both impactors included a USP induction port and were operated at 60 LPM for a total air volume of 2 L ([FDA, 1998;](#page-6-0) [USP<601>\).](#page-7-0) HPLC grade methanol was used as the stage solvent in the MSLI. The placebo large porous particles contained 0.1% (w/w) rhodamine B. Sample solutions were prepared by dilution with methanol (HPLC grade) into 50-mL volumetric flasks. Chemical analysis was performed using fluorescence spectrophotometry (λ_{ex} = 547 nm; λ_{em} = 567 nm) (F-4500, Hitachi Inst., San Jose, CA). The studies were conducted with 5- and 10-mg powder fill weights. The ACI was evaluated using uncoated and coated impaction plates (thin layer of vacuum grease applied by hand—Dow Corning, Midland, MI) with impactor stages 0 to 7 (0:7) and stages -1 to 6 (−1:6) (Thermo Electron, Franklin, MA). Glass fiber filters (Cat #28313-057, VWR Brand, West Chester, PA) were evaluated as both dry and wet (HPLC grade water) substrates placed on inverted impaction plates.

The weighting effect introduced by the non-uniform stage widths of the ACI and MSLI were normalized by calculating the mass frequency $(p(d_i))$ as a continuous function of the aerodynamic diameter (d_i) , as follows ([Dunbar and Mitchell, 2005\):](#page-6-0)

$$
p(d_i) = \frac{m_i}{M_e} \frac{1}{\Delta d_i} \tag{1}
$$

where:

$$
d_i = \frac{ECD_{i-1} + ECD_i}{2} \tag{2}
$$

and ECD*ⁱ* is the effective cut-off diameter of stage *i*, m_i is the mass recovered from stage i , M_e is the mass emitted from the delivery system and Δd_i is the width of the particle size interval:

$$
\Delta d_i = \text{ECD}_{i-1} - \text{ECD}_i \tag{3}
$$

The ECDs for the MSLI and ACI operated at 60 LPM are summarized in [Table 1.](#page-2-0)

Comparisons of the mass frequencies obtained by ACI and MSLI were performed by observing modes, and conducting a comparison of means for the mass median aerodynamic diameter (MMAD) and geomet-

^a [Asking and Olsson \(1997\).](#page-6-0)

Table 1

^b [Nichols and Smurthwaite \(1998\).](#page-6-0)

^c Thermo Electron, Franklin, MA.

ric standard deviation (σ_g), given by:

$$
\sigma_{g} = \frac{\text{MMAD}}{d_{0.16}}\tag{4}
$$

where $d_{0.16}$ is the diameter at the 16th percentile of the cumulative distribution undersize. MMAD and $d_{0.16}$ were obtained by interpolation from the cumulative mass fraction undersize:

Cumulative mass fraction
$$
< ECD_i = \frac{1}{M_e} \sum_{j=\text{Filter}}^{Stage \, i+1} m_j
$$
 (5)

The non-linear least-squares fit of the log-normal probability density function was superimposed on the experimental data to assist with the visualization of the continuous aPSD ([Dunbar and Hickey, 2000\)](#page-6-0). However, this does not assume the log-normal probability density function accurately represented the aPSDs ([Dunbar and Hickey, 2000](#page-6-0)). Statistical analysis of experimental designs was performed using a factorial ANOVA model (Design Expert v5, Stat-Ease Inc., Minneapolis, MN). Statistical comparison of means was conducted using Dunnett's method with the MSLI as the control at an α -level = 0.05 (JMP, SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

3. Results

Fig. 1(a) and (b) compare the MSLI and ACI aPSDs, obtained with stages 0:7 using uncoated and coated impaction plates, respectively. Bounce effects were prominent with uncoated impaction plates, reflected by the bi-modal distribution, with the maximum mode occurring at $0.05 \mu m$ (representing the mass collected on the filter stage). Coating the impaction plates with a thin layer of vacuum grease reduced the mode at $0.05 \mu m$; however, the aPSD remained bi-modal. The mass balance from coated impaction plates, summarized in [Table 2, w](#page-3-0)as reduced by approximately 10% of the powder fill weight, relative to uncoated impaction plates and the MSLI.

The ACI aPSDs obtained with stages $-1:6$ using uncoated and coated impaction plates were also bi-

Fig. 1. aPSD obtained by MSLI (closed circle) and ACI (open circle) configured with stages 0:7 using (a) uncoated plates, and (b) coated plates (standard deviations shown; $n = 3$).

Table 2 Mass balance summary (standard deviations shown in parenthesis; $n - 3$

Cascade impactor	Impaction surface	Mass balance $(%)^a$		
MSLI	Glass frit plus methanol	100(2)		
ACI $0:7$	Uncoated plate Coated plate	104(2) 90(3)		
$ACI - 1:6$	Uncoated plate Coated plate 20- μ m glass fiber filter + water 98 (5)	105(0) 95(2)		

^a Relative to powder fill weight.

Parameters and levels for $2³$ full factorial design

Factor	Name	Units	$(-)$	$(+)$
A	Pore size	μm		20
B	Water	—	No	Yes
C	Fill weight	mg		10

modal, as illustrated in Fig. 2(a) and (b), respectively. Bounce effects were less prominent with uncoated plates using stages −1:6 relative to stages 0:7. The mode at $2.65 \mu m$ obtained by the ACI suggested that stage 3 may have become overloaded, with particles impacting on this stage bouncing to downstream stages. The mass balance from coated impaction plates using the −1:6 stage configuration increased by 5% of the powder fill weight relative to the 0:7 stage configuration (Table 2).

A $2³$ full factorial design, summarized in Table 3, was performed to evaluate the use of glass fiber filters as an impaction plate substrate. The response factor was the mass depositing on the filter stage as a percentage of the initial fill weight. The mass deposited on the filter stage was indicative of bounce effects in the earlier uncoated/coated impaction plate studies, and should tend to zero as bounce effects are minimized. A statistically significant second order interaction was observed between filter pore size and solvent, as illus-trated in [Fig. 3\(a](#page-4-0)) $(P<0.05)$. Increasing the pore size from 2 to $20 \mu m$ and saturating the filter with water significantly reduced the mass of powder depositing on the filter stage, as illustrated in [Fig. 3\(b](#page-4-0)) $(P < 0.05)$. Also, increasing the powder fill weight from 5 to 10 mg did not have a statistically significant effect on the filter mass deposit $(P > 0.05)$. The aPSD obtained with the ACI (stages $-1:6$) using saturated 20- μ m glass fiber filters was mono-modal, indicating that bounce effects had been minimized, and showed good agreement with the MSLI ([Fig. 4\).](#page-4-0)

[Table 4](#page-4-0) summarizes the aPSDs in terms of the modes, MMAD and $\sigma_{\rm g}$ obtained by the MSLI and the various ACI configurations. The ACI (stages $-1:6$) using saturated 20 - μ m glass fiber filters produced a single mode aPSD with no statistically significant differences in MMAD and $\sigma_{\rm g}$ relative to the MSLI (*P* > 0.05). Differences were observed between the ACI configurations using uncoated and coated impaction plates relative to the MSLI, producing bi-modal aPSDs and statistically significant differences in MMAD and $\sigma_{\rm g}$ $(P<0.05)$.

Fig. 2. aPSDs obtained by MSLI (closed circle) and ACI (open circle) configured with stages −1:6 using (a) uncoated plates, and (b) coated plates (standard deviations shown; *n* = 3).

Table 3

Fig. 3. Filter mass fraction (a) half-normal plot of effects; (b) solvent-pore-size interaction.

Fig. 4. aPSDs obtained by MSLI (closed circle) and ACI (open circle) configured with stages -1:6 using 20-μm filter substrates saturated in water (standard deviations shown; $n = 3$).

4. Discussion

Bounce effects were minimized with the ACI (stages $-1:6$) using 20- μ m glass fiber filters saturated in water, with good agreement obtained between the ACI and MSLI aPSDs. The combined action of liquid surface tension (capillary forces) and particle dissolution prevented particle-to-particle bounce [\(Turner and](#page-7-0) [Hering, 1987\).](#page-7-0) Alternative approaches to utilizing capillary forces by increasing the ambient relative humidity above 75% had yielded high collection efficiencies (>90%) for uncoated plates [\(Winkler, 1974; Lawson,](#page-7-0) [1980\).](#page-7-0) However, elevating the ambient moisture content above normal patient use is not appropriate for assessing the routine performance of pulmonary drug products. Previous investigations of particle bounce

Table 4

Summary of representative parameters (standard deviations shown in parenthesis; $n = 3$)

^a Statistically significantly different means relative to MSLI ($P < 0.05$).

effects have indicated that there is a strong interaction between the particle and substrate material ([Esmen](#page-6-0) [and Lee, 1980; Hinds et al., 1985,](#page-6-0) [Turner and Hering,](#page-7-0) [1987\).](#page-7-0) Saturated glass fiber filters may not be suitable for the analysis of some active pharmaceutical ingredients, for example, due to charge interactions between the particle and substrate. Therefore, selection of the impaction substrate material and solvent must be evaluated with the drug product and analytical methods to obtain an accurate measure of the aPSD.

Alternative substrates to glass fiber filters have been evaluated. A unique approach has been the use of an impaction surface with an inverse conical cavity to minimize adverse loading effects, producing collection efficiencies \geq 90% for both uncoated and coated surfaces [\(Tsai and Cheng, 1995\).](#page-7-0) Polyurethane foam (PUF) substrates have been used to absorb the kinetic energy of the impacting particles ([Kavouras and](#page-6-0) [Koutrakis, 2001\).](#page-6-0) This approach has the advantage of eliminating coating components that may interfere with chemical analysis. High collection efficiencies were obtained with the polyurethane foam substrate (>90%). However, the PUF collection efficiency curve deviated significantly from theory, suggesting that the porous surface affected the impaction flow field.

Substrate thickness should be defined to ensure the ratio of jet diameter (*W*) to impaction plate distance (*S*) does not affect the effective cut-off diameter (ECD) after the impaction plate has been inverted. Stage ECDs are independent of the impaction plate distance when *S*/*W* >1 [\(Marple and Rubow, 1986\)](#page-6-0). Table 5 summarizes the S/W ratio for stages -1 to 7 for upright and inverted impaction plates (assuming a saturated glass fiber filter thickness of 0.5 mm). ECDs for stages −1, 0 and 1 would have been different between the upright and inverted impaction plates. However, the good agreement obtained between the ACI (stages $-1:6$) using 20- μ m glass fiber filters saturated in water and MSLI aPSDs suggests the change in impaction plate distance did not produce a significant difference in ECDs. This issue can be easily mitigated by constructing substrates that conserve the impaction plate distance.

Bounce effects were prominent with uncoated impaction plates. The problem of low collection efficiencies due to poor adhesion of solid particles on solid surfaces was recognized during the early development of the cascade impactor ([May, 1945\)](#page-6-0). Subsequent studies have confirmed that dry impaction surfaces yield poor collection efficiencies and distort the aPSDs due to particle bounce [\(Dzubay et al., 1976; Roa and](#page-6-0) [Whitby, 1978a,b; Cheng and Yeh, 1979; Cushing et](#page-6-0) [al., 1979; Barr et al., 1982; Markowski, 1984; Mitchell](#page-6-0) [et al., 1988; Vaughan, 1989; Swanson et al., 199](#page-6-0)6). Bounce effects were still observed after coating the impaction plates with a thin layer of vacuum grease due to particles forming a monolayer for subsequent particle–particle interactions ([Turner and Hering](#page-7-0), [1987\).](#page-7-0) The thickness of vacuum grease may not have been optimal for reducing particle bounce effects. It has been proposed that the ideal coating layer should be approximately equal to the radius of the particles collected ([Zimon, 1982\)](#page-7-0). Coating stainless steel impaction plates with a 3.75 - μ m film of high viscosity silicone oil minimized bounce effects for the Serevent Diskhaler® [\(Podczeck, 1997\).](#page-6-0) However, the thickness of the coating layer becomes immaterial once a stage is overloaded (greater than a monolayer of particles) ([Turner and Hering, 1987](#page-7-0)). This is of particular relevance to large porous particles that will overload a stage by volume, e.g., porous particles with a particle density of approximately 0.1 g/cc will deposit approximately 10 times the volume of particles relative to unit density particles.

Coating the impaction plates with vacuum grease hindered particle dissolution during sample recovery, reducing the mass balance, as summarized in [Table 2.](#page-3-0) This is of particular importance during the quality control of drug products to satisfy the mass balance of the product label claim [\(FDA, 1998,](#page-6-0) [USP<601>\).](#page-7-0) It was

Table 5

Ratio of jet diameter (*W*) to impaction plate distance (*S*) for upright and inverted impaction plates (assuming saturated glass fiber filter thickness of 0.5 mm)

Impaction plate configuration	–								
Upright	∪.∠	U.4	U.J	∸.¬	J.I	4.1	U.J	0.J	8.5
Inverted (0.5 mm substrate)	v.,	$\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}$	0.3	1.0	ن. ت	.		6.0	6.6

therefore concluded that coating impaction plates does not minimize bounce effects, but also hinders recovery leading to the reduction of mass balance, for particles with large volumes, low envelope densities and small contact surface areas. Interstage losses obtained with the ACI (stages $-1:6$) using saturated 20- μ m glass fiber filters and uncoated plates (stages 0:7) were equal at 8% of the mass collected in the ACI. Interstage losses were considered to be intrinsic to the cascade impactor and independent of bounce effects.

Bounce effects were reduced by using stages −1:6 relative to stages 0:7. This was due to the lower jet velocity on stage -1 of the $-1:6$ stage configuration (65 cm/s) relative to stage 0 of the 0:7 stage configuration (204 cm/s). The mass balance from coated impaction plates using the $-1:6$ stage configuration increased by 5% of the powder fill weight relative to the 0:7 stage configuration ([Table 2\).](#page-3-0) This was attributed to the lower jet velocities on stage −1 reducing the particle contact with the coating layer and enhancing dissolution during recovery.

5. Conclusions

Bounce effects were minimized using 20 - μ m pore glass fiber filters saturated in water, with good agreement obtained between the ACI and MSLI aPSDs. Particle bounce effects were found to be prevalent with uncoated plates. Coating the impaction plates with a thin layer of vacuum grease and decreasing the jet velocities reduced but did not minimize bounce effects.

References

- Asking, L., Olsson, B., 1997. Calibration at different flow rates of a multistage liquid impinger. Aerosol Sci. Technol. 27, 39–49.
- Barr, E.B., Newton, G.J., Yeh, H.-C., 1982. Nonideal collection characteristics of a cascade impactor with various collection substrates. Environ. Sci. Technol. 16, 633–635.
- Cheng, Y.-S., Yeh, H.-C., 1979. Particle bounce in cascade impactors. Environ. Sci. Technol. 13, 1392–1396.
- Cushing, K.M., McCain, J.D., Smith, W.B., 1979. Experimental determination of sizing parameters and wall losses of five sourcetest cascade impactors. Environ. Sci. Technol. 13, 726–731.
- Duddu, S.P., Sisk, S.A., Walter, Y.H., Tarara, T.E., Trimble, K.R., Clark, A.R., Eldong, M.A., Elton, R.C., Pickford, M., Hirst, P.H., Newman, S.P., Weers, J.G., 2002. Improved lung delivery from a passive dry powder inhaler using an engineered Pulmosphere Powder. Pharm. Res. 19, 689–695.
- Dunbar, C.A., Hickey, A.J., 2000. Evaluation of probability density functions to estimate particle size distributions of pharmaceutical aerosols. J. Aerosol Sci. 31, 813–831.
- Dunbar, C.A., Mitchell, J.P., 2005. Analysis of cascade impactor mass distributions. J. Aerosol Med., in press.
- Dunbar, C.A., Scheuch, G., Sommerer, K., DeLong, M., Verma, A., Batycky, R., 2002. In vitro and in vivo dose delivery characteristics of large porous particles for inhalation. Int. J. Pharm. 245, 179–189.
- Dzubay, T.G., Hines, L.E., Stevens, R.K., 1976. Particle bounce errors in cascade impactors. Atmos. Environ. 10, 229–234.
- Edwards, D.A., Hanes, J., Caponetti, G., Hrkach, J., Ben-Jebria, A., Eskew, M.L., Mintzes, J., Deaver, D., Lotan, N., Langer, R., 1997. Large porous particles for pulmonary drug delivery. Science 276, 1868–1871.
- EP, 1997. Preparations for inhalation: aerodynamic assessment of fine particles. In: European Pharmacopoeia, European Pharmacopoeia Convention, pp. 143–150.
- Esmen, N.A., Lee, T.C., 1980. Distortion of cascade impactor measured size distribution due to bounce and blow-off. Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J., 410–419.
- FDA, 1998. Metered dose inhaler (MDI) and dry powder inhaler (DPI) drug products: chemistry, manufacturing and controls documentation—draft guidance for industry.
- Hinds, W.C., Liu, W.-C.V., Froines, J.R., 1985. Particle bounce in a personal cascade impactor: a field evaluation. Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J. 46, 517–523.
- Kavouras, I.G., Koutrakis, P., 2001. Use of polyurethane foam as the impaction substrate/collection medium in conventional inertial impactors. Aerosol Sci. Technol. 34, 46–56.
- Lawson, D., 1980. Impaction surface coatings intercomparison and measurements with cascade impactors. Atmos. Environ. 14, 195–199.
- Markowski, G.R., 1984. Reducing blowoff in cascade impactor measurements. Aerosol Sci. Technol., 431–439.
- Marple, V.A., Rubow, K.L., 1986. Theory and design guidelines. In: Lodge, J., Chan, T. (Eds.), Cascade Impactor Sampling and Design. AIHA, Akron, OH, pp. 79–101.
- May, K.R., 1945. The cascade impactor: an instrument for sampling course aerosols. J. Sci. Instrum. 22, 187–195.
- Mitchell, J.P., Costa, P.A., Waters, S., 1988. An assessment of an Andersen mark-II cascade impactor. J. Aerosol Sci. 19, 213–221.
- Mitchell, J.P., Nagel, N.M., 2004. Cascade impactors for the size characterization of aerosols from medical inhalers: their uses and limitations. J. Aerosol Med. 16, 341–377.
- Nichols, S.C., Smurthwaite, M.J., 1998. The Andersen cascade impactor: calibration data, operation at various flow rates and modified for use with DPIs at various flow rates. In: Dalby, R., Byron, P., Farr, S. (Eds.), RDD VI. Interpharm Press, Buffalo Grove, IL, pp. 393–396.
- Podczeck, F., 1997. Optimization of the operation conditions of an Andersen-cascade impactor and the relationship to centrifugal adhesion measurements to aid the development of dry powder inhalations. Int. J. Pharm. 149, 51–61.
- Roa, A.K., Whitby, K.T., 1978a. Non-ideal collection characteristics of inertial impactors-I. Single stage impactors and solid particles. J. Aerosol Sci. 9, 77–86.
- Roa, A.K., Whitby, K.T., 1978b. Non-ideal collection characteristics of inertial impactors-II. Cascade impactors. J. Aerosol Sci. 9, 87–100.
- Swanson, P.D., Muzzio, F.J., Annapragada, A., Adjei, A., 1996. Numerical analysis of motion and deposition of particles in cascade impactors. Int. J. Pharm. 142, 33–51.
- Tsai, C.-J., Cheng, Y.-H., 1995. Solid particle collection characteristics on impaction surfaces of different designs. Aerosol Sci. Technol. 23, 96–106.
- Turner, J.R., Hering, S.V., 1987. Greased and oiled substrates as bounce-free impaction surfaces. J. Aerosol Sci. 18, 215–224.
- USP<601>. Aerosols, metered-dose inhalers and dry powder inhalers <601>. In: US Pharmacopoeia, US Pharmacopeial Convention, Rockville, MD, pp. 4933–4949.
- Vaughan, N.P., 1989. The Andersen impactor: calibration, wall losses and numerical simulation. J. Aerosol Sci. 20, 67– 90.
- Winkler, P., 1974. Relative humidity and the adhesion of atmospheric particles to the plates of impactors. Aerosol Sci. 5, 235– 240.
- Zimon, A.D., 1982. Adhesion of Dust and Powder. Consultants Bureau, New York.